Antimicrobial resistance

A position statement from the Biochemical Society

November 2022

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has been described by the World Health Organization as a threat to global health and development. If left unchecked, it has been estimated that it will cause 10 million deaths per annum by 2050¹, and could drive 28 million people into extreme poverty².

Molecular biosciences and AMR are closely connected

The molecular biosciences play a crucial role in combatting AMR. By enabling life to be understood at the fundamental level, both basic and applied molecular research contributes directly and indirectly to reducing AMR burden across health, agricultural and environmental settings. For example, molecular understanding of microbial resistance mechanisms, as well as drug-target interactions, will be crucial both to optimising existing antimicrobials and developing novel drugs. Indirectly, molecular understanding across the disease spectrum is required to develop a repertoire of accurate and rapid diagnostic tools, and effective vaccines.

The Biochemical Society recognises that the issue of AMR is complex, and while highly important, the contribution of molecular bioscience research alone will not address the crisis we are facing. The Biochemical Society believes that an integrated, collaborative, multisectorial response to AMR, following the One Health framework, is vital. For this to be viable, it will require substantial and sustained funding along with decisive leadership and steadfast support from governments, global leaders and industry.

"...it will require substantial and sustained funding along with decisive leadership and steadfast support from governments, global leaders and industry"

Adoption of the One Health approach is vital for an integrated, collaborative and multisectorial approach

The One Health concept is defined as an integrated, unifying approach that aims to sustainably balance and optimize the health of people, animals and ecosystems. In practice, it is a multidisciplinary and multisectorial approach to preventing emergent and resurgent infectious diseases, emphasising the necessity for combined action from the public, private, non-profit, and academic sectors. This concept recognises the inextricable link between environmental, plant, animal, and human health and as such is paramount in the fight against AMR, encompassing a range of AMR-countering strategies such as improving access to existing healthcare resources,





infection prevention, antimicrobial stewardship, improving diagnostics, enhancing public health surveillance, increasing laboratory capacity, supply chain management and developing AMR-related skills in the global healthcare workforce.

The need for the One Health approach is clear. Although the risks that the overuse and misuse of antimicrobials in medical and veterinary settings and livestock agriculture pose to the development of AMR are well known³, use of antimicrobials and potential routes to drug resistance are widespread. Antimicrobial use in crop-based agriculture is a growing concern, with a lack of regulation and monitoring in many countries⁴. Environmental settings too can act as reservoirs for resistance, and specialists in public health and urban development should be included in AMR collaborations, as poor hygiene and sanitation measures play a dominant role in accelerating the spread of AMR, for example through untreated sewage and waste reaching water supplies⁵.

Sharing of knowledge and resources on an international scale is also vital. AMR disproportionately affects low and middle-income countries^{1,6}, where there is little access, for example, to more expensive second-line antibiotics when first-line drugs fail due to resistance. For instance, in sub-Saharan Africa, the lack of means for effective prevention, diagnostics and treatment of infectious diseases is worsening the AMR burden⁷. However, geographical differences must also be recognised; in South Asia and Latin America, AMR burden is due to high levels of resistance even with good access to antibiotics⁷. Global collaborations combining their efforts to promote global awareness and surveillance of AMR, for example, the Quadripartite collaboration⁸ and the Global Leaders Group⁹, are now promoting the One Health approach to fight AMR, and are playing a valuable role in increasing access to information and AMR advocacy on an international scale.



AMR disproportionately affects low and middleincome countries: graph shows all-age attributable to and associated with bacterial antimicrobial resistance by Global Burden of Disease (GBD) region. Reproduced from Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. Lancet. 399:629-44, published by Elsevier under a CC BY licence.





"AMR disproportionately affects low- and middle-income countries, where there is little access, for example, to second-line, more expensive antibiotics when first-line drugs fail due to resistance"

Innovation and funding will be crucial to protect existing antimicrobials and securing a pipeline of alternative drugs

To combat AMR, an increase in research funding is essential. Clearly, this is critical for the design and development of novel antimicrobials, but also for improving diagnostics, vaccines, and other measures that will also prevent the proliferation of AMR. At present, the antimicrobial research and development (R&D) system is fragmented, with a lack of collaboration between academia, industry and clinicians. In line with One Health, a more coordinated and systematic approach is needed to ensure that new antimicrobials are brought to market in as prompt a manner as possible.

"In line with One Health, a more coordinated and systematic approach is needed to ensure that new antimicrobials are brought to market in as prompt a manner as possible"

Successful innovation relies on resource mobilisation, and funding by both public and private investors needs to be highly visible to prove that antimicrobial R&D is a priority area and to inspire investors' confidence. For example, CARB-X¹⁰ and the AMR Action Fund¹¹ are currently important funding sources for novel antimicrobial development. Interruptions in funding can lead to abandonment of projects and new firms failing to grow into mature businesses, and researchers in both academia and industry moving on to more financially rewarding areas of research. This can be exemplified by the collapse of companies that successfully brought new antibiotics to market yet still suffered bankruptcy (e.g. Melinta Therapeutics and Achaogen). Situations such as this contribute significantly to the lack of confidence for investment in antimicrobial R&D. In response to the market failure, novel schemes have been launched in the UK¹² and USA¹³ to reward firms that launch new drugs through an annual fixed fee, thus delinking financial reward from volume of prescriptions. For this new model to become a powerful market signal to industry and encourage pharmaceutical companies to re-invest in antimicrobial R&D, other countries will need to offer similar schemes and coordinate on priorities.

Increased global advocacy (from governments, industry and the third sector), and above all, action, are needed to spur interest and investment in the ongoing global AMR crisis. Advocacy for increased funding remains an important part of this, but within the concept of the One Health approach, advocacy is also needed in many other areas in relation to AMR.





Political will, accountability and leadership will be crucial to spurring action

Political will is another essential element of our battle to defeat AMR. Indeed, AMR is a global strategic priority and sits within the UK Government's National Risk Register¹⁴, however, not enough is being done at the governmental level to address the issues associated with it. In the UK, there is currently no single entity or individual tasked with the essential functions of leadership and coordination across sectors. In 2014 Lord O'Neill chaired an AMR review and produced a highly-influential report¹, and former Chief Medical Officer Dame Sally Davies (2011-2019) provided leadership on AMR¹⁵, but there is currently no obvious replacement for these individuals. The UK All-Party Parliamentary Group on Antibiotics¹⁶ exists to raise the profile of antibiotic resistance, and AMR has been addressed by the House of Commons Committees for Health and Social Care¹⁷ and Science and Technology¹⁸, and indeed there are many more examples⁸. 9, 10, 19, 20 of collaborations, groups and initiatives, both nationally and internationally, that exist to tackle AMR, but coordination and accountability is lacking. One approach could be for the UK government to appoint a 'tsar' for AMR.

"One approach could be for the UK government to appoint a 'tsar' for AMR"

Decisive political leadership, guided by well-informed expert opinion is vital to navigating through public health crises. The COVID-19 pandemic has shown that political leadership and coordinated action, on both national and international scales, can galvanise rapid and effective action. However, the focus on SARS-CoV-2 since 2020 has potentially led to a substantial decline in public awareness of AMR and a distraction from its perils, as, by contrast with COVID-19, AMR has been described as a 'silent' or 'slow-burn' pandemic. Governments have a key role in engaging people across society in terms of encouraging adoption of public health measures that reduce infection risk and safeguard antimicrobials.

Although public health has to be the key driver, the economic consequences of the spread of AMR provide compelling justification for enhanced efforts in this area. It has been estimated that by 2050 the costs of AMR would be 100 trillion USD¹, so, although the costs of tackling AMR are potentially very high, the net financial benefits are likely to outweigh those costs. Aside from direct R&D funding, funding from central governments could enable, for example, establishment of early career fellowships in AMR to maintain related skills in the workforce, and centres for AMR research (national and/or international) that would act as hubs for R&D. Whatever political initiative is forthcoming, bold, innovative and coordinated sustainable action is required, and required soon.

"...bold, innovative and coordinated sustainable action is required, and required soon."





The Biochemical Society

Our membership includes molecular bioscientists working in AMR and related fields across academia and industry, and across a range of career stages and pathways, in research, teaching, and leadership positions. We are dedicated to supporting their career development, and that of scientists across the entire molecular bioscience community.

We are committed to championing awareness and knowledge about the AMR battle and supporting the approaches outlined in this statement throughout all facets our work. We foster collaborative ways of working, and together with our community partners seek to influence UK policies on AMR and related areas. Aiming to ensure that scientific evidence is prioritised in policymaking, we work with the Royal Society of Biology and as part of the Learned Societies Partnership on Antimicrobial Resistance²¹, combining our voices and maximising our influence. We also promote and share knowledge on AMR through our publisher, Portland Press²², encouraging open and transparent dialogue, and provide platforms for discussion and collaboration through our scientific meetings and events.





Reference list

- 1) O'Neill J. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: Final report and recommendations. The review on antimicrobial resistance. 2016.
- 2) World Bank Group. Drug-resistant infections: A threat to our economic future. 2017.
- 3) McEwen SA & Collignon PJ. Antimicrobial Resistance: a One Health Perspective. Microbiol Spectr. 2018. Mar;6(2).
- 4) Taylor P & Reeder R. Antibiotic use on crops in low and middle-income countries based on recommendations made by agricultural advisors. CABI Agric. Biosci. 2020. 11 1, 1-14.
- 5) World Health Organization. Technical brief on water, sanitation, hygiene and wastewater management to prevent infections and reduce the spread of antimicrobial resistance. 2020.
- 6) Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. Lancet. 2022. 399:629-44
- 7) Laxminarayan R. The overlooked pandemic of antimicrobial resistance. Lancet. 2022. 399:606-607.
- 8) Quadrapartite Collaboration. One Health Joint Plan of Action Working together for the health of humans, animals, plants and the environment. 2022.
- 9) Global Leaders Group on AMR. Priorities of the Global Leaders Group on AMR for 2021-2022. 2021.
- 10) <u>CARB-X</u> [Internet]
- 11) AMR action fund [Internet]
- 12) Mahase E. UK launches subscription style model for antibiotics to encourage new development. BMJ. 2020 18;369:m2468.
- 13) Press release: Bennet, Young, Doyle, Ferguson Introduce PASTEUR Act to Fight Antimicrobial Resistance. 2021.
- 14) UK Government. National Risk Register. 2020.
- 15) <u>UK Government, Department of Health and Social Care. UK secures historic UN Declaration on antimicrobial</u> resistance. 2016.
- 16) UK Parliament All Party Parliamentary Group on Antibiotics. [Internet].
- 17) <u>House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. Antimicrobial resistance: Eleventh Report of Session</u> 2017-19. 2018. HC 962.
- 18) House of Commons Science and Technology Committee. Antimicrobial resistance: non inquiry session. [Internet].
- 19) Global Antibiotic Research and Development Partnership (GARDP). [Internet].
- 20) ABX: The Antibiotic Discovery Accelerator Network. [Internet].
- 21) <u>British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. Learned Societies Partnership on Antimicrobial Resistance</u> (LeSPAR). [Internet].
- 22) Edited by Henrietta Venter. Antimicrobial Resistance. Essays Biochem. 2017. 61(1).



